

## Forage Sorghum Production with Limited Water

Jourdan M. Bell

Extension and Research Agronomist

February 2024

Hartley County, Texas May 11, 2022 photo credit: Jourdan Bell

21113

#### Moore County: Residue from Irrigated Corn vs. Dryland Corner – Snow January 8 and Picture taken January 25, 2024



### That said, silage makes money...

**ELAAS** 

ELHAS

### Regionally, how much forage is needed?

- Dairy
  - ~25 lbs DM/day/cow x 750K cows = 18.8M lbs or 9,400 tons forage/day
- Beef Cattle Finishing
  - ~5 lbs DM/day/head x 2.5 M cattle on feed = 12.5M lbs or 6,250 tons forage/day
- 15,650 ton/day NEEDED
  - 12 ton DM/acre non-stressed corn silage = 1304 acres/day = ~476K acres per year
- Realistic: 9 ton DM/acre limited irrigated silage = 1,738 acres/day = ~635K acres per year in silage
- This does not include stockers or other livestock sectors.
- Future forage production is going to require strategic management of water resources.



#### Figure 2. High Plains Trade Area Land Use

### **Forage Options**

- Annual Forages
  - Corn Silage
  - Wheat Silage
  - Sorghum Silage
- Perennial Forages
  - Improved Perennials
  - Native Grasses



Ē

**Fig. 7.** Cumulative evapotranspiration (ET) and grain yield at Akron, CO; Bozeman, MT; and Bushland, TX. Source: D.C. Nielsen

Why are forages a viable option with limited water?

Forage is the X-axis intercept:

- Wheat at Bushland = 8"
- Wheat at Akron = 5"
- Wheat at Bozeman = 4"

-With forage, we can focus on water for biomass rather than water for grain.

-BUT... early reproductive stage forages cannot be directly ensiled.

# Winter Small Grain Silages

Filling the High Plains' "Silage Gap" (M. Marsalis, NMSU)

- Wheat (Wheatlage)
- Rye
- Barley
- Triticale



#### TAM 204 Crop Water Use 2021



### More recent water use data:

- Variety yield potentials have increased but water use has not changed.
- Wheat at boot uses about 8" water on dryland....but it will use more if you have the water.
  - When are you terminating? Heading?

### Wheatlage and the "Forage Gap"

- <u>Planned</u> no longer an "opportunity crop"
- Insufficient summer silage produced to meet regional livestock needs
- Wheatlage: lower yielding than summer silages but a high-quality option
- Forages provide farmers an alternative market
- Forages generally use less water than grain crop because of earlier harvest stage – <u>opportunity for farmers with</u> <u>low well capacities</u>

	Average Yield				
	tons/ac				
	65% Moist.				
Corn Silage	9.5	27 (22-30)			
Sorghum Silage	8.0	23 (20-28)			
Wheatlage	5.3	15 (11-22)			
		(Range)			

\*Average Yields for the Texas High Plains Production Region

### Boot Harvest Stage - Green Chop

- Directly fed or wilted prior to ensiling
- Optimize forage quality
- Less yield but less water



2022-2023 Small Grain Silage Trial at Bushland

- April 21, 2023
- Image from Shannon Baker

#### Soft-

	Boot	Dough
Triticale	2.9	6.8
Rye	2.5	6.1
Wheat	2.1	5.1
Average	2.5	6.0





**Boot – Green Chop** 



Soft Dough – Wheatlage





Boot – Green Chop



Soft Dough – Wheatlage



Corn silage increases production risks in limited water environments....

### Corn Silage – Grain: Silage Ratio

- ROT: 9 to 10 tons/bushel
- This is under IDEAL conditions
- If you do not have the water for grain, you will not have the water for tonnage.

Grain	Silage	
Yield	Yield	
(bu/ac)	(Tons/ac)	Ratio
150	22.3	6.7
200	25.9	7.7
225	27.7	8.1
300	33.1	9.1

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Corn Silage:** Traditional Silage of Choice

- Belief: Corn silage is ALWAYS higher in energy.
  - Grain content AND stover digestibility affect energy level
  - If you do not have grain, overall forage quality decreases
- Belief: Corn always has a higher yield potential
  - New forage sorghums hybrids produce greater yields in stressed environments
- Under water stress, corn silage quality is reduced
  - Corn silage quality is related the amount of grain produced and quality of the
    3/23/stover

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Water Stressed Silage

- Low moisture/ High dry matter: Harvest moisture is critical to silage packing
  - Moisture varies with packing method, but 65% is average
- Were labeled pesticides used?
- Potential Nitrate Poisoning
  - Water stressed forages accumulate nitrates
  - Heavy rates of N fertility and manure
  - Nitrates will partialize dissipate during fermentation but don't assume they will all be gone
  - Raise cutting bar (~6 inches)

2017 State Silage Corn Performance Test at Halfway, TX. 24 commercial entries and 6 experimental hybrids from Texas AgriLife Research in Lubbock. Wenwei Xu Corn Breeder

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### IVTDM

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Drought Damaged Corn Silage

- Poor ear development
- Decreased tonnage
- Increased shrinkage in the silage pit due to high DM
- High DM can create issues with fermentation losses
- Reduced quality and quantity of forage ... harvested and packed

Message to producers: If there is a risk for drought damaged corn, consider forage sorghums.

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Deficit Irrigated Corn Silage (Bell, Xue, Marek, Xu, Heflin)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Forage		In-season Irrigation	In-season Precipitation to Silage Harvest	In-season Precipitation to Grain Harvest	_
Planting Date	Harvest	Grain Harvest		inches		_
5/17/2021	8/26/2021	9/21/2021	6.8	8.0	8.7	тгуло соры 🌃
6/15/2021	9/15/2021	10/14/2021	6.3	7.2	7.2	I LAAD LUKN

# **Corn Silage and Limited Water**

PD	Hybrid and Targeted	Grain Yield	Silage Yield	Grain Silage			
	Seeding Rate			Price*	Price	Diff.	
		bu./ac	tons/ac 65%		\$/ac		
		15.5% GM	Moist.				
1	1366Q 22K	133.9	18.0	854.41	1169.85	315.45	
1	1366Q 16K	129.7	18.3	827.53	1187.53	360.00	
1	DKC70-64 22K	137.1	20.0	874.74	1296.81	422.07	
1	DKC70-64 16K	146.4	19.0	934.14	1232.79	298.65	
	p-value	0.3362	0.2003				
2	1366Q 22K	81.5	14.1	503.81	918.44	414.63	
2	1366Q 16K	76.9	14.9	572.35	967.36	395.01	
2	DKC70-64 22K	61.1	14.9	415.44	967.28	551.85	
2	DKC70-64 16K	66.5	15.0	411.54	977.29	565.75	
	p-value	0.7023	0.3189				

\*Corn grain price calculated using the Jan. 2022 cash price at \$6.38/bu; Corn silage price calculated

using \$65/ton forage at 65% moisture Jourdan Bell, Texas A&M AgriLife

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Forage Sorghums for Silage**

### The hybrid must match the water!

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Sorghum Maturity

Maturity	
Class	Days to HB
Early	<70
Med-Early	70-79
Medium	80-85
Med-Late	86-90
Late	91-100
PS	>100

High yielding hybrids can have high yield potential, but they have a longer duration of water use.

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Sorghum maturity class will drive water use

				Precip			Forage		
			Soil	to	Irrigation	Crop	Yield (65%	Grain	WUE
		Harvest	Water	Silage	to Silage	Water	DM,	Yield	(in/bu
 Hybrid	Forage Type	Date	Use	Harvest	Harvest	Use (in.)	tons/ac)	(bu/ac)	)
55VP77	Corn	8/24/16	6.1	4.7	9	19.8	18.2	138	0.9
SP4105	PS SxSu	10/24/16	9.0	7.3	10.8	27.0	22.4		0.8

- Photoperiod Sensitive Forage Sorghum harvested 2 months after corn silage resulting in greater water use.
- If water is limited, use an early maturing hybrid to minimize risk.

- Historically forage sorghums had a bad reputation. Why?
- Producers plant a late maturity class without the ability to meet the crop water demand.
- Always optimistic of high yields, but without water yield and quality decline.
- Production functions for forage sorghum (maturity classes x irrigation rate) are needed.

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Texas A&M AgriLife Forage Sorghum Program

- Research goal is to address both quality and quantity
- Public Forage Sorghum Silage Trial
  - ~80 entries per year

https://amarillo.tamu.edu/amarillo-centerprograms/agronomy/forage-sorghum/ Google: AgriLife Amarillo Forage Sorghum

- Sorghum harvest timing and berry processing
- Forage sorghum herbicide trial (Heflin)
- SCA Management in Forage Sorghums
- Sorghum-sudan management

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Quality Forage Sorghum Silage Begins with Hybrid Selection

- Not all sorghum equal
- Evaluate variety trials from multiple locations
- Hybrid should match production system and end-user goals
- Later maturity class hybrids have greater yield potential, but do you have the water to meet the demand?
- Late season hybrids more prone to lodging under late season moisture and high fertility
- Choose hybrid based on hybrid specific characteristics not forage type <sub>3/23/2024</sub>

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Nationwide Confusion about Forage Sorghum Quality

Variety trial data demonstrates differences in forage sorghum hybrids

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### 2023 AgriLife Forage Sorghum Silage Trial - Bushland

Planting Date: June 22, 2023

Forage Sorghum Seeding Rate: 80,000 seeds/acre

Corn Silage Seeding Rate: 32,000 seeds/acre

Fertilizer: Manure pre-wheat

Pre-plant strip-tilled 180 lbs. N/ac and 30 lbs. P2O5/ac (6-15-2023) Herbicides:

Pre-plant: S-metolachlor 1 pt/ac plus Atrazine 1 lb./ac (4-25-2023)

Pre-plant burndown: Quinclorac 8 oz/ac plus Paraquat 2 pt/ac (5-22-2023)

Pre-emergent: Warrant (acetochlor) 48 oz/ac plus Starane Ultra (fluroxypyr) 6 oz/ac plus Buccaneer (glyphosate) 48 oz/ac (6-23-2023)

Post-emergent: Huskie FX 18 oz/ac (pyrasulfotole + bromoxynil + fluroxypyr) plus QuinStar (quinclorac) 32 oz/ac plus Atrazine (1 lb/ac)

Insecticide: Sivanto 4 oz/ac with pre-plant strip-tilled N (6-15-2023)

Sorghum aphids (Sugarcane Aphids) identified 7-27-2023

Sivanto 7 oz/ac aerially at 4 gpa (7-29-2023)

Preplant Rainfall (May 1 – June 21): 9.6 inches

In-season Irrigation: 10 in. (early and med early hybrids) and 11.5 (medium and longer hybrids) and In-season Rainfall: 2.52 in.

#### July 5, 2023

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

2017 State Silage Corn Performance Test at Halfway, TX. 24 commercial entries and 6 experimental hybrids from Texas AgriLife Research in Lubbock. Wenwei Xu Corn Breeder

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### IVTDM

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rank	HYBRID	COMPANY	ТҮРЕ	Advertised MATURITY	SCA Tol.	BMR	BRACHY TIC	Days to HB†	Harvest Date	Yield (tons/ac) DM Basis
				Medium						
1	P4205	Warner Seeds	Forage Sorghum	Late	Yes	No	No	92	10/19/2023	10.6
2	F465 *Unpollinated MS	Richardson Seeds	Forage Sorghum	Medium	Yes	No	No		9/25/2023	10.2
3	38F80	Wilbur-Ellis	Forage Sorghum	Late	Yes	No	No	90	10/19/2023	10.1
4	SS405	S&W Seed	Forage Sorghum	Medium Late	No	No	No	97	10/19/2023	10.0
5	Super SIle 20	DynaGro	Forage Sorghum	Medium Late	No	No	No	85	10/19/2023	9.3
6	S72	Richardson Seeds	Sorghum Sudan	Late	Yes	Yes	No	107	10/19/2023	8.7
7	ADV F8484IG	Advanta	Forage Sorghum	Late	No	No	Yes	92	10/19/2023	8.7
8	33F70	Wilbur-Ellis	Forage Sorghum	Late	No	Yes	Yes	92	10/19/2023	8.6
9	ADV XS005	Advanta	Sorghum Sudan	PS	Yes	Yes	No	118	10/24/2023	8.6
10	ADV F7424	Advanta	Forage Sorghum	Medium Late	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	10/19/2023	8.5

### **Perennial Forages Objectives**

- Alternative option to reduce water withdrawals OR simply optimize the limited irrigation capacity that is available.
- The economic return of native pasture (per acre) is approximately 8% the return on average irrigated croplands (Deines, 2020)
- Improved forages with a higher economic return are essential to sustain the economic viability as crop production declines.

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

### OAP Perennial Forage Project at Bushland

- Reality: perennial forage research is a long-term commitment
- Establishment period: 1-2 years

		Cumulative					
	6/20/2023	8/8/2023	10/18/2023	Yield			
Forage	lbs DM/ac						
Wrangler	434 ± 135	933 ± 259	773 ± 441	2140			
Wrangler + Alfalfa	794 ± 168			794			
Cheyenne		1696 ± 348	1350 ± 452	3047			
Sorghum Sudan			944 ± 107	944			

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Profile drydown during last cutting growth period

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Profile drydown during last cutting growth period

Wrangler

-Soil moisture < 50% MAD</li>-Negligible winter precip.-How will production respond?

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Summary

- As water declines, forages may be the most economical and VIABLE option.
- Research is needed to optimize production with adapted forages under limited irrigation.
- Production functions are needed for <u>LOW</u> water environments.
- A better understanding about the long-term impact of annual forages to soil is needed.

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Thank you!

Jourdan M. Bell

Research and Extension Agronomist Texas A&M AgriLife Amarillo Research and Extension Center 6500 Amarillo Blvd. West Amarillo, TX 79106 jourdan.bell@ag.tamu.edu

Amarillo Agronomy Team: Kevin Heflin, Carla Naylor, Preston Sirmon, Nick Porter, Jessica Smith

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)